

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/398,126 09/16/1999		TAKAHIRO SASAKI	2803-63294	9667		
75	90 10/08/2002					
PATRICK G BURNS ESQ			EXAMINER			
GREER BURNS & CRAIN LTD 233 S WACKER DRIVE			DUDEK, JAN	DUDEK, JAMES ANDRE		
SUITE 8660 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CITICAGO, IL	00000		2871			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·	XX					
	-	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)						
•		09/398,12	26	SASAKI ET AL.						
ν,	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		James A.	Dudek	2871						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commo period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. o) days, a reply within the state tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reputer in the may are may	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this control NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on ·								
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is	non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the a	application.			•					
	la) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,5-7,11 ar</u>	nd 14-32 is/are withdr	awn from consid	deration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,33 and 34</u> is/are rejec	ted.	•							
7) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>12,13,35 and 36</u> is/are obje	cted to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election re	equirement.							
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13)∐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
·	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been	n received							
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority of			inlication No						
					Stane					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(formal Patent Application (PT						



Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-2, 4 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the Yamada et al. US patent No. 6,067,141 (Yamada).

Per claims 1-2 and 4, Yamada discloses a pair of substrates (60 and 50) having electrodes (ITO layer 63 and 53) and vertical alignment layers (61 and 52); a liquid crystal having a negative anisotropy of dielectric constant and inserted said pair of substrates (see column 12); at least one of said substrates having means for forming at least one boundary of alignment of liquid crystal domains at fixed positions (51, the means described in the specification is point structures)

Per claim 2, see figure 5B.

Per claim 4, as the point alignments are contacting both substrates, the limitations of claim 4 are met.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-10 are allowed



Art Unit: 2871

Claims 12-13 and 35-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: "the alignment control structures of at least one of substrates having means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point, and means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a portion of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point" is understood to mean that the control structure further comprises two different means. The first being the means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the second being a means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a potion of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point. This give rise to three elements: the control structure, the first means and the second means.

According to this understanding, the prior art of record does not teach nor suggest "the alignment control structures of at least one of substrates having means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point, and means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a pert of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO



Art Unit: 2871

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-2 and 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 308-4782. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on 703-308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Annes A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

JAD October 7, 2002